

ವರೆಗೆ ಭಾರತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ proposals ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಎಂದು ಬರೆದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—Depressed Class ಸವರಿಗೆ I.A.S. ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಂಡ ಹಾಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಸೂಚಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬರೆದಾಗಲೂ ತಾವು ಅದನ್ನೂ ಕೂಡ ಸುಮ್ಮನೆ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಗದ ಯಾವುದೂ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY.

(but not taken up).

Road from Bukinakere to Krishnarajpet via Moduru (repairs).

Q.—363. Sri S. M. LINGAPPA (Krishnarajpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether it has come to their notice that the District Board road from Bukinakere to Krishnarajpet via Moduru remains unrepaired for the last several years?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

This is a new road with a length of five miles. The formation of this road is now proposed to be taken up for execution at an estimated cost of Rs. 8,000.

Revision of Scales of Pay of University Teachers (University Grants Commission recommendation).

Q.—432. Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY (Bagepally-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the University Grants Commission have sent up any proposals to the University of Mysore and to the Mysore Government regarding revision of salaries of University teachers;

(b) the proposals regarding revision of scales;

L.A.

(c) what proportion of the extra cost involved would be borne by the University Grants Commission;

(d) action they have taken in this regard?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The following are the scales of pay proposed by the University Grants Commission :—

	Rs.
Professors	... 850—50—1,250
Readers	... 500—25—800
Lecturers	... 250—20—500
Other classes of appointments below that of Lecturers	... 150

(c) Eighty per cent.

(d) The matter is under active consideration of Government.

Results of First Law Examination of 1954-1955.

Q.—484. Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Srirangapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the date on which the results of the First Law Examination of 1954-55, were announced;

(b) whether the results were revised and announced at a subsequent date; if so, date and reasons for such a revision;

(c) whether there was any irregularity in valuation of papers; if so, what the irregularity was and who was responsible for it?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) 18th June 1955.

(b) Yes. The revised results of First Law were announced on 14-9-55. A petition dated 2-7-1955 by certain failed F.L. students was submitted to the Vice-Chancellor representing that there was an unusually large number of failures in "Torts" this year for the reason that the correction was unduly stiff. An investigation into

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)
the matter was conducted by a committee appointed by the University Council. On the recommendation of the committee, the University Council at its meeting on 10-9-1955 resolved as follows :—

“In view of the exceedingly stiff valuation in the Paper on Torts, 10 marks be added uniformly to each candidate in the Torts Paper.”

The results were revised accordingly and published.

(c) There was no irregularity. The valuation of the paper on “Torts” was found to be exceedingly stiff as compared to the other papers.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION

Re: Unlawful activities in Bellary.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri M. C. Anjaneya Reddy has given notice of an adjournment motion as follows :—

“This House do now stand adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance and of recent occurrence to wit :

The failure of the Government in suppressing the unlawful, destructive activities of mischievous elements in Bellary resulting in the removal of rails, etc.”

It is not clear from the terms of the motion when these alleged incidents took place. Further, the subject matter of the motion relates to the ordinary administration of law and as such cannot form the subject matter of an adjournment motion. For these reasons I hold that the motion is out of order.

Chief Minister's letter to States Reorganisation Commission on Bellary.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY (Bangalore South).—On a previous occasion I made a request through you to the Chief Minister to lay a copy of the letter that the Government have written to the Commission on the table of the House and I do not know what

fate my request has met with. I want to know that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When did you make the request?

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Three days back.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What was the answer?

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—The Hon'ble Chief Minister said that he would consider.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—There is no intention to keep that letter a secret. I will tell you now alone that I have communicated my views whatever they are and are printed in my Budget speech of year before 1955. There is not one word more or one word less. I will place this letter at the earliest opportunity. I have not got it here. I will distribute the copies of the same to all of you.

Business of the House.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—I would like to know further programme of the House. Are we dispersing on 24th instant or is the session going to be extended? If so, what is the work?

Sri R. K. PRASAD (Bangarpet).—I would like to know whether in this Assembly we have got an Opposition Party officially recognised.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri J. Mohamed Imam has raised some point. Let him finish.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I would like to know further programme of the House and when are we likely to disperse. Is it a fact that we are meeting again after a fortnight?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is certain that we are meeting again. As to when, I have to look into the date and find out the convenient date for all of you.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—With regard to the programme for this session, are we concluding on 24th instant?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I feel that there is consensus of opinion that